Interactive Course Timetabling

Tomáš Müller*, Hana Rudová[¢] and Keith Murray*

Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA ^()Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic



University Timetabling System

http://www.unitime.org

Comprehensive university timetabling system

- used for generation of timetables at Purdue University (USA)
- course timetabling
- exam timetabling
- event scheduling
- student scheduling under development

Course timetabling

- decentralized problem solving
- about 70 problems of different characteristics and complexity
 - about 40.000 students
 - about 7.700 classes per term in total
 - about 1.000 classes in the largest problem
- automated computing of timetables
- interactive changes of generated timetables

Interactive Changes of Course Timetable

	2 Ed 11	🗄 🐑 http	os://www.sn	nas.purdu	e.edu/Timet	abling/sele	ctPrimaryRo	le.do							<u></u>		G Google			
											Timetable 🌌									
	Itor																			
a Filler																Export PDE Refresh				
Time	etable								1									egena		
112	7:30a	8:00a	8:30a	9:00a	9:30a	10:00a	10:304	11:00a	11:30a	12:00p	12:30p	1:00p	1:30p	2:000	2:300	3:00p	3:30p	4:00p	4:30p	5:00p
(268) Mon			ENGR 12	6R Lec 1	015.27	4 Lec 1	MA 15	Lec 2	0152	41 ec 3	PHYS 2	19 Lec 1	OLS 27	4 Lec 2	CGT 163	lec 1	ENGR 12	6A Lec 1	EPCS 10	1 Lec
			4,5	4, 0			0, 1	0,0	24	1, 0	0, 3				4, 3,		0,0	D, O	0, 19	
																	ENGR 12	6H Lec 1		
Tue	OLS 25	2 Lec 1	PHYS 27	72 Lec 1	PHYS 2	21 Lec 1	PHYS 24	11 Lec 1	PHYS 2	41 Lec 2	PHYS 2	41 Lec 3	P	SY 335 L	ec 1	S	DC 100 Le	ec 10	HIST 152	2 Lec 1
Mar d	15,	1, 3	0, 1	7,0	0,3	9,0	0,2	,0	0,2	6,0	0, 1	9,0	010.07	0, 0, 0	0.07.400		32, 4, 4		0, 14	, 0
med			ENGR 12	0R Lec 1 4, 0	01527	4 Lec 1 3,0	MA 154 0, 1	1 Lec 2 0, 0	24	4 Lec 3 1, 0	0,3	19 Lec 1 0, 0	015 27	4 Lec 2 1,0	4 5	0	ENGR 12	10A LEC 1 0, 0		
																	ENGR 12	6H Lec 1		
Thu	OLS 25	2 Lec 1	PHYS 27	72 Lec 1	PHYS 2	21 Lec 1	PHYS 24	11 Lec 1	PHYS 2	41 Lec 2	PHYS 2	41 Lec 3	Р	SY 3351	ec 1	S	0C 100 L e	e. 10	HIST 152	2 Lec 1
	15,	, 3			0, 3	9,0	0,2	,0	0,2	6,0	0, 1					-	32 4 4		0, 14	, 0
Fri			PHYS 22	21 Rec 1 7 0			MA 15	1 Lec 2			PHYS 2 0 3	19 Lec 1 0 0	PHYS 21	19 Rec 1 7 0	PHYS 21	8 Rec 1	PHYS 21	18 Rec 2		
PHYS 114 (273)	7:30a	8:00a	8:30a	9:00a	9:30a	10:00a	10:30a	11:00a	11:30a	12:00p	12:30p	1:00p	1:30p	2:00p	2:30p	3:00p	3:30p	4:00p	4:30p	5:00p
Mon	CGT 16	3 Lec 2	PHYS 21	14 Lec 1	ANTH 2	05 Lec 1	PHYS 17	2H Lec 1	MA 16	5 Lec 5	PHYS 2	18 Lec 1	PHYS 2	18 Lec 2	AGEC 21	7 Lec 2	AGEC 2	17 Lec 3	PSY 200	Lec 1
Tue	4,0	.4	0,9 PHYS 22	3, 0 20 Lec 1	16,0 PHYS 2	51, 0 20 Lec 2	PHYS 23	8,4 201.ec 3	PHYS 1	5,0 721.ec.1	0,2 PHYS 1	0,0 721.ec 2	PHYS 1	4,0 721.ec 3	C8IT 141	.0 Llec 1	U, 1 MGMT 2	6,0 01 Lec 1	24.38 MGMT 20	5,0 11 Lec (
														,0	40.8	, 0	0,6	8, 0	0, 16	3, 0
															CGT 141	Lec 1				
Wed	CGT 163 LabP 2 PHYS 214 Lec 1 4, 5, 4 0, 93, 0		ANTH 205 Lec 1 ENGR 100H Lec 1a			MA 165 Lec 5 PHYS 218 Lec 1 PHYS 218 Lec 2					AGEC 217 Lec 2 AGEC 217 Lec 3 PSY 200 Lec				Lec 1					
				16, 61, 0		4, 6, 0 Week 1		0, 15, 0 0,									24, 38, 0			
					ENGR 100H Lec 1b		b													
							4,6	, 0												
							110	SR 4												

Interactive Course Timetabling

Suggestions

Changes with class "POL 101 Lec 3" are considered

Sugge	estions				
Score	Class	Date	Time	Room	Students
+15.2	POL 101 Lec 3	Full Term	TTh 12:00p \rightarrow TTh 7:30a	BRNG 2280	+11
+31.7	POL 101 Lec 3	Full Term	TTh 12:00p → TTh 10:30a	BRNG 2280	+36 (h+3)
	HIST 342 Lec 1	Full Term	TTh 10:30a \rightarrow TTh 1:30p	$BRNG\ 2280 \to BRNG\ 2290$	
+36.6	POL 101 Lec 3	Full Term	TTh 12:00p → TTh 10:30a	BRNG 2280	+36 (h+4)
	HIST 342 Lec 1	Full Term	TTh 10:30a \rightarrow TTh 7:30a	BRNG 2280	
+44.1	POL 101 Lec 3	Full Term	TTh 12:00p → TTh 10:30a	BRNG 2280	+34 (h+2)
	HIST 342 Lec 1	Full Term	TTh 10:30a \rightarrow TTh 3:00p	BRNG 2280 → BRNG 2290	
	OBHR 330 Lec 4	Full Term	TTh 3:00p	BRNG 2290 → LWSN B155	

(all 1571 possibilities up to 3 changes were considered, top 4 of 17 suggestions displayed)

Search Deeper

Interaction Process: Variables

Timetabling problem P: weighted constraint satisfaction problem

- hard constraints must be satisfied
- soft constraints are satisfied to a certain degree/weight
- objective function F summerizes weights of soft constraints

Initial solution δ

initial timetable of the interaction process

Interaction Process: Variables

Timetabling problem P: weighted constraint satisfaction problem

- hard constraints must be satisfied
- soft constraints are satisfied to a certain degree/weight
- objective function F summerizes weights of soft constraints

Initial solution δ

initial timetable of the interaction process Selected assignments μ : changes made with the timetable δ during current interaction Selected class v

to modify its placement or to be placed into the timetable

Interaction Process: Variables

Timetabling problem P: weighted constraint satisfaction problem

- hard constraints must be satisfied
- soft constraints are satisfied to a certain degree/weight
- objective function F summerizes weights of soft constraints

Initial solution δ

initial timetable of the interaction process Selected assignments μ : changes made with the timetable δ during current interaction Selected class v

to modify its placement or to be placed into the timetable

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm Suggestions}\; \Omega : \; {\rm set} \; {\rm of} \; {\rm generated} \; {\rm assignments} \; \omega \\ {\rm making} \; {\rm the} \; {\rm timetable} \; {\rm feasible} \; ({\rm all} \; {\rm hard} \; {\rm constraints} \; {\rm are} \; {\rm satisfied}) \\ {\rm Conflicting} \; {\rm assignments} \; \gamma \end{array}$

set of assignments conflicting with selected assignments μ $_{\rm Interactive \ Course \ Timetabling}$

procedure INTERACTION(P, δ, v)

$$\mu = \emptyset$$

$$A = \{ v \neq d_v \}$$

while true do

$$\Omega = BB(P \cup A, \delta, \mu, v)$$

procedure INTERACTION(P, δ, v)

$$\mu = \emptyset$$

$$A = \{ v \neq d_v \}$$

while true do

$$\Omega = BB(P \cup A, \delta, \mu, v)$$

$$S = COMMUNICATION(\Omega)$$

procedure INTERACTION(P, δ, v) $\mu = \emptyset$ $A = \{v \neq d_v\}$ while true do $\Omega = \mathsf{BB}(P \cup A, \delta, \mu, \mathbf{v})$ $S = \text{COMMUNICATION}(\Omega)$ case (S) commit($\omega \in \Omega$): $\delta = join(\delta, \mu \cup \omega)$; return abort: return selectAssignment(d_n): $\mu = \mu \cup \{v/d_n\}$ selectFilter(α): $A = \alpha v$

end case end while end procedure

Interactive Course Timetabling

procedure INTERACTION(P, δ, v) $\mu = \emptyset$ $A = \{v \neq d_v\}$ while true do $(\Omega, \gamma) = \mathsf{BB}(P \cup A, \delta, \mu, v)$ $S = \text{COMMUNICATION}(\Omega, \gamma)$ case (S) commit($\omega \in \Omega$): $\delta = join(\delta, \mu \cup \omega)$; return abort: return selectAssignment(d_n): $\mu = \mu \cup \{v/d_n\}$ selectFilter(α): $A = \alpha v$ selectClass($c \in \{\mu \cup \gamma \cup \Omega\}$): v = c; $A = \{v \neq d_v\}$ *removeClass*($c \in \mu$): $\mu = \mu \setminus \{c/d_c\}$ end case end while end procedure Interactive Course Timetabling

Branch and Bound (BB)

 $\Omega = \mathsf{BB}(P \cup A, \delta, \mu, v)$

Variables

- weighted constraint satisfaction problem P
- filter A
- $\bullet\,$ initial timetable $\delta\,$
- $\bullet\,$ selected assignments $\mu\,$
- class to be (re-)placed v

Initialization

 \bullet compute conflicting assignment caused by μ

Run BB to find assignments of variables for

- class v
- classes involved in conflicting assignments

Branch and Bound (continues)

Run BB

- n best suggestions ω are given to user
- search with timeout
- best values (based on contribution to F) explored first

Bounds

- limited search depth
 - to allow changes of small number of variables only
 - to include changes of one new class it does make sense to change too many other classes
- F must be better than the *n*-th best found suggestion

Branch and Bound (continues)

Run BB

- n best suggestions ω are given to user
- search with timeout
- best values (based on contribution to F) explored first

Bounds

- limited search depth
 - to allow changes of small number of variables only
 - to include changes of one new class it does make sense to change too many other classes
- F must be better than the *n*-th best found suggestion

Repeat BB: process another run of BB with

- increased search depth or
- increased timeout

Introduction	Branch	and Bound	Experir	Experiments and Demonstration				
Experiment	S							
Problem		pu-fal	07-llr	pu-spr07-llr				
Classes			89	1	803			
Time limit (s)			_	5	-	5		
Time spent (s)			128.6	4.7	39.9	4.2		
Number of bac	ktracks		66367.9	2886.9	13949.1	2592		
Optimal sugges	stion found (%)	98.4	51.5	99.2	67.0			

Improvements in objective function (%) +1.1 +0.8

+0.7

+0.9

Demonstration

See http://www.unitime.org/uct_demo.php for online demo

Interactive Course Timetabling