

System Demonstration of Interactive Course Timetabling

PATAT 2010

Friday, August 13, 2010

Tomáš Müller, Keith Murray, Hana Rudová



System Highlights

- Publicly available
 - ° Open Source License (GNU GPL for interface, LGPL for solver)
 - Resources available online at <u>www.unitime.org</u>
- Client-server architecture
 - Web-based interface
 - Using JSP, Struts, Hibernate, GWT
 - Compatible with Firefox, IE, Safari, and Chrome
- Platform independent
 Java, Tomcat, Oracle/MySQL
- Extensible & customizable
 - Applicable to a variety of university timetabling problems
 - XML interfaces





System Highlights

Modular

 Demand and curriculum-based course timetabling, examination timetabling, event management, student sectioning (in development)...

Distributed

- Allows decomposition of timetabling problems when desired (e.g., centrally managed, departmental, and other special problems)
- Provides for coordination of multiple sub-problems and addresses competitive behavior among users
- University-wide Applicability
 - Tested on instances with up to 9,000 classes, 2,400 exams, and 39,000 students
 - Modes ranging from manual data entry to fully automated timetabling
 - Allows interactive changes

Linstructional Offerings									Ings [®]					
Subject: Cs :	Course Numb	er: 18000	Search Export PDF	Worksheet I	Of Add New									
	External Id Env	oliment Project	ed Demand Limit Room R	utio Manage	r Date Pattern Mi	ins Per Week	Time Patter	Prefer * Time	Room	Distribution	instructor	Timetab Time	Room	Room Cap
CS 18000 Configuration Free		137	101 176 Prog 66	ramming I	Ed Yes									
Recitation			-	1396	Full Term	50	1 x 50		LWSIN Classroom	Time Spread				
Laboratory			66	1398	Full Term	100	1 x 100		LWSN 8146					
Rec 1	41334-001	38	96 22	1396	Full Term	100	2 + 50		LWDN	Time Spread	Watrabenstein, -	1 F 2 30p-3 20p	LWSN 8134	180
Parc 2	41329-012	14	22	1396	Full Term	50	1 × 50		Classroom LWSN	Time Spread	Monta, J E	F 9.30a-10.20a	LW5N 1108	36
Rec 3	41335-013	10	22	1398	Full Term	50	1 x 50		Classroom LWSN	Time Spread	Soemeker, J L	F 7:30a-8:20a	LW5N 1108	36
Lab 1	41332-021	13	22	1396	Full Term	100	1 x 100		Classroom LWSN 8146		Khandukar, A M	T 1.30p-3.20p	LWIN 814	24
Lib 2	41333-022	13	22	1396	Full Term	100	1 x 100		LW5N 8146		Sharikar, A.	W930e-1120e	LWIN 814	24
Lab 3	41330-023	12	22	1396	Full Term	100	1 x 100		LW5N 8146		Runyan, D M	Th 7:30e-9:20e	LWSN 814	24
Configuration LecRecL Lecture	da.		110 110	U.R.	Full Term	100	2 x 50		Remote	Diff Time				
Laboratory			110	1398	Full Term	100	1 x 100		LWSN 8146					
Recitation			110	1396	Full Term	50	1 x 50		Classroom	Time Spread				
Lec 2	12956-M01	99	110	ULR.	Full Term	100	2 × 50		Remote	Diff Time Meet Togethe	Bingham, C W	MW 430p-520	MATH 175	180
Lab-4	12957-0114	21	22	1398	Full Term	100	1 x 100		LW5N 8146		Zhang, W	T 3:30p-5:21p	LWSN 8148	24
Rec 4	41295-M24	21	22	1396	Full Term	50	1 x 50		Classroom	Time Spread	Wallsberstein,	/ # 12:30p-1:20p	LWSN 8134	36



Versatility by Design

- Robust course model
 - Allows representation of even the most complex courses as individual classes plus a set of constraints
- Constraints set on various levels of course structure
- Exceptions to rules
- Classes can share rooms
- Use of multiple rooms
- Use of variable date patterns
- Solves problems with wide variety of individual characteristics
- Local-search based constraint solver framework not tailored to specific problem attributes
- System can support any use from completely manual to fully automated operation
- Solver framework allows problem-specific search methods
- Consistent set of constraint-based abstractions





Input Data

Prohibited

Student Requirements

Actual or last-like student course demand, or curricula requirements

Time Requirements and Preferences

- Date pattern (e.g., full term, week 5)
- Time pattern (e.g., 3 x 50 minutes)

Room Requirements and Preferences

- Capacity
- Room/building/equipment preferences
- Building distances
- Room availability
- Instructor Requirements and Preferences
- Distribution Requirements and Preferences
 - Constraints between several classes (e.g., precedence)
- Other (e.g., departmental balancing, efficient use of times and rooms)





Problem Model

Constraint Satisfaction and Optimization Problem

- Variable: class
- Value: assignment of both time and room(s)
- Objective: weighted sum of violated soft constraints

Hard Soft constraint constraint

Times for class	Time pattern Individual times	X X	X
Rooms for class	Individual buildings/rooms Individual room equipment	X X	X X
Resource constraints	Room Instructor	X X	
Students	Conflicts between two classes	1	Х
Distribution constraints between classes	Time between classes Time precedences between classes Classes placed in similar times Same or different meeting days/times/ rooms for classes	X X X X	X X X X

° Some constraints may initially be hard and then weakened during search



Constraint Solver

- Local-search based framework
 - Using constraint programming primitives
 - Allows problem-specific search methods
 - Applicable to a variety of constraint satisfaction and optimization problems
- Winner of two tracks of International Timetabling Competition 2007

Operates in multiple modes

- Data consistency checks using hard constraints and conflict-based statistics to detect and visualize problems
- Initial course timetabling problem
- Minimal perturbation problem (minimize students affected by changes)
- Interactive timetabling (support tool for user decisions)

• All modes have the same problem model

- Use same search method (except for interactive mode)
- But different objectives (soft constraints)

Interactive timetabling has the same objective but different search method.



Interaction

A sequence of changes based on a desired change to an individual class

- In each step, user can:
 - Commit or abandon the change
 - Select a (different) suggestion or placement
 - Remove selected assignment
 - Select another class
- Solver provides suggestions
 - Best N changes to selected classes and other affected classes
 - Resolving all hard conflicts
 - Ordered from best to least fit by the objective function

Interactive Changes

Current Assignment of MA 52700 Lec 2						
Date:	Full Term					
Time:	MWF 11:30a - 12:20p					
Room:	EE 270					
Initial Assignment:	MWF 11:30a - 12:20p EE 270					
Student Conflicts:	3× BMS 82000 Lec 1 MWF 11:30a - 12:20p LYNN G167 [hard]					
Room Locations:	23 (ARMS 1010, ARMS B061, BRNG 2280, CL50 224,)					
Time Locations:	17 (MWF 7:30a, MWF 8:30a, MWF 9:30a, MWF 10:30a,)					
Minimal Room Size:	118					
Required Strong	aly Preferred Neutral Discouraged Strongly Discouraged Prohibited					

Class	Date	Time	Room	Students		
CE 37100 Lec 1	Full Term	MWF 8:30a	EE 270 → ARMS 1010	1→1 (h1-	→ 1)	
I MA 52700 Lec 2	Full Term	MWF 11:30a → MWF 8:30a	EE 270	3→9 (d0→9,h3→9)		
Conflicting Assig	nments					
Class	Date	Time	Room	Students	Constraint	
AAE 33300 Lec 1	Full Term	MWF 8:30a → not-assigned	ARMS 1010 → not-assigned	-5 (h-3)	Room ARMS 1010	
Not-assigned classe	s: +1 (0) → 1)				
Overall solution valu	e: +12.7 (7	7105 → 7117.7)				
Student conflicts:	9× MA S BME 1× CE S POL	52700 Lec 2 MWF 8:30a - 9:20 69000 Lec 1 W 9:30a - 10:20 7100 Lec 1 MWF 8:30a - 9:20 23700 Lec 1 MWF 8:30a - 9:20	a EE 270 a MJIS 1001 [hard, distance] a ARMS 1010 [hard] 0a ME 117 [hard]			

Score	Class	Date	Time	Room	Students
+10.4	AAE 33300 Lec 1	Full Term	MWF 8:30a → MWF 3:30p	ARMS 1010 → CL50 224	+138 (c+18,d+13,h+95)
	MA 16100 Lec 1	Full Term	MWF 3:30p → MWF 7:30a	CL50 224 → EE 129	
+24.6	AAE 33300 Lec 1	Full Term	MWF 8:30a → MWF 7:30a	ARMS 1010 → EE 129	+1 (d+9,h+3)
+25.3	AAE 33300 Lec 1	Full Term	MWF 8:30a → MWF 7:30a	ARMS 1010 → MATH 175	+1 (d+9,h+3)
+27.6	AAE 33300 Lec 1	Full Term	MWF 8:30a → MWF 7:30a	ARMS 1010 → WTHR 200	+11 (d+19,h+3)
	OLS 27400 Lec 1	Full Term	MW 7:30a	WTHR 200 → EE 129	

Assign



Interactive Solver

Branch & Bound with a very limited depth (and time frame)

- Typically not more than two additional changes are allowed
- User can increase depth and/or time limit and guide the search by filtering the results

Problem	pu-fal07-	llr	pu-spr07-llr 803		
Classes	891	83 Z 1 >			
Classes fixed in time and room [%]	31.0		33.8		
Time limit [s]	- (5	100-	5	
No suggestions found [%]	1.6	2.3	0.8	0.8	
Optimal suggestions found [%]	98.4	51.5	99.2	67.0	
Complete space explored [%]	98.4	21.5	99.2	33.3	
Number of suggestions	232.8	174.9	228.6	184.5	
Number of backtracks	66367.9	2886.9	13949.1	2592.0	
Time spent [s]	128.6	4.7	39.9	4.2	
Improvements in objective function [%]	+1.1	+0.8	+0.9	+0.7	

Results comparing suggestions with and without 5 second time limit for the interactive solver with 2 additional changes



Selected Publications

Complex university course timetabling

H. Rudová, T. Müller, K. Murray, Journal of Scheduling, DOI 10.1007/s10951-010-0171-3, To Appear, 2010.

Comprehensive approach to student sectioning

T. Müller, K. Murray, Annals of Operations Research, DOI 10.1007/s10479-010-0735-9, To Appear, 2010.

ITC2007 solver description: a hybrid approach

T. Müller, Annals of Operations Research, Volume 127, Number 1 (November 2009), Pages 429-446, ISSN 0254-5330 (Print) 1572-9338 (Online), DOI 10.1007/s10479-009-0644-y, 2009.

Modeling and Solution of a Complex University Course Timetabling Problem

K. Murray, T. Müller, H. Rudová, In Edmund Burke and Hana Rudova, editors, Practice and Theory of Automated Timetabling, Selected Revised Papers, Springer-Verlag LNCS 3867, Pages 189-209, 2007.

Minimal Perturbation Problem in Course Timetabling

T. Müller, R. Barták, H. Rudová, In Edmund Burke and Michael Trick, editors, Practice and Theory of Automated Timetabling, Selected Revised Papers, Pages 126-146. Springer-Verlag LNCS 3616, 2005.

Minimal Perturbation Problem - A Formal View

R. Barták, T. Müller, H. Rudová, Neural Network World (2003), Volume 13, Number 5, Pages 501-511.

University Course Timetabling with Soft Constraints

H. Rudová, K. Murray, Practice And Theory of Automated Timetabling, Selected Revised Papers. Springer-Verlag LNCS 2740, Pages 310-328, 2003.



Demonstration

http://demo.unitime.org